



STATE OF NEW JERSEY

Board of Public Utilities

*Two Gateway Center
Newark, NJ 07102*

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT)
APPLICATION OF BELL ATLANTIC-NEW)
JERSEY, INC. AND ARBROS COMMUNI-)
CATIONS LICENSING, COMPANY, N.E.)
FOR APPROVAL OF AN INTERCONNEC-)
TION AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION 252)
OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF)
1996)

ORDER APPROVING
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO. TO99120919

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

BY THE BOARD:

By letter dated December 15, 1999, Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc. (BA-NJ), a New Jersey corporation, and Arbros Communications Licensing Company, N.E. (Arbros), a Delaware corporation (individually, a Party, and jointly, the Parties), pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, P.L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (codified in scattered sections of 47 U.S.C. §151 et seq.) (the Act), submitted to the Board of Public Utilities (Board) a joint application (Application) for approval of a negotiated interconnection agreement dated November 5, 1999 (Agreement). Arbros is a local exchange carrier (LEC) as defined by the Act. See 47 U.S.C. §153(26). BA-NJ is an incumbent local exchange carrier as defined by the Act with the duty to negotiate interconnection agreements pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. See 47 U.S.C. §251(c) and §251(h)(1). The Agreement contains various rates, terms and conditions of interconnection of the networks of Arbros and BA-NJ, which are necessary for Arbros to begin to offer local telecommunications services within New Jersey.

BA-NJ and assert that the Agreement satisfies the requirements for Board approval because it does not discriminate against any other telecommunications carrier, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(A)(i). The Parties aver that the interconnection arrangement contained in the Agreement is available to any other telecommunications carrier operating in New Jersey, and that other carriers are not bound by the Agreement, remaining free to negotiate independently with BA-NJ pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. Application at 3-4.

Moreover, the Parties state that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(A)(ii), because it is an important step towards allowing Arbros to compete with BA-NJ as a facilities based carrier in New Jersey for both residential and business customers. Ibid.

The Agreement sets forth the terms, conditions and prices under which BA-NJ will offer and provide access to unbundled network elements, ancillary services, and wholesale

telecommunication services available for resale to Arbros within each local access and transport area (LATA) in which they both operate in New Jersey. The Parties assert that the Agreement is an integrated package that reflects a negotiated balance of many interests and concerns critical to both Parties. Ibid. Exhibit A to the Agreement sets forth a detailed schedule of itemized charges. Exhibit B to the Agreement sets forth a methodology by which Arbros may present a network element bona fide request to BA-NJ, as well as the duties of both Parties should such a network element bona fide request be made.

The Agreement is in effect until August 31, 2000, and thereafter the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect unless terminated as provided within this Agreement. Upon the expiration of the initial term, the Parties will negotiate in good faith to maintain interconnection, collocation, and the exchange of traffic, including the use of mediation or arbitration under Section 252 of the Act. However, in the absence of agreement to renew or extend this Agreement, either Party may terminate the agreement by providing written notice to the other Party at least ninety days in advance of the termination. In the event of such termination, those service arrangements made available under the agreement and existing at the time of termination shall continue without interruption under: (a) a new agreement executed by the Parties, (b) standard interconnection terms and conditions made generally effective by the Board, (c) tariff terms and conditions generally available to competitive LECs (CLECs), or (d) if none of the above is available, under the terms of the agreement on a month to month basis until such time as (a), (b), or (c) becomes available.

As stated in the Application, the Parties maintain that the Agreement does not discriminate against any other telecommunications carrier, and that, to the contrary, the arrangement contained in the Agreement is available to any other carrier authorized to operate in New Jersey. The Parties also assert that other carriers are not bound by the Agreement and remain free to negotiate independently with BA-NJ pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. In addition, the Parties assert that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(a)(ii) because it is an important step towards allowing Arbros to compete with BA-NJ as a facilities-based carrier in New Jersey, and that the Agreement will also be available to all telecommunications carriers under 47 U.S.C. §252(i).

DISCUSSION

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(a)(1), an incumbent LEC may negotiate and enter into a binding interconnection agreement with a carrier requesting interconnection, service or network elements without regard to the standards set forth in 47 U.S.C. §251(b) and (c). In addition, 47 U.S.C. §252(e)(1) requires approval by the Board of any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration, and further requires the Board to approve or reject the Agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. The Act provides that the Board may reject a negotiated agreement only if finds that:

- (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

[47 U.S.C. §252(e)(2)(A)].

The Board's review of the Agreement and the record in this matter indicates that the Agreement is

consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, and that the Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunication carriers not parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Board FINDS that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Act, and HEREBY APPROVES the Agreement as presented by the Parties. This approval should not be construed as preapproval of any future petitions for rate recovery of costs incurred pursuant to the Agreement. Our approval does not constitute a determination concerning BA-NJ's obligations pursuant to Section 271 of the Act, although this Agreement will be taken into consideration in that determination. In addition, our approval does not constitute a determination concerning, nor shall the Board be bound by, provisions within this Agreement regarding the confidentiality of information.

With regard to section 252(i) of the Act and 47 C.F.R. §51.809, we note that all local exchange carriers have a continuing obligation to comply with Federal law. AT&T Corp. v. Iowa Utils. Bd., 119 S.Ct. 721, 738, 142 L.Ed. 2d 835 (1999).

The Board notes that amendments or modifications to Board approved interconnection agreements are subject to Board review and approval. No agreement can be read, nor does the Board believe the Parties to this Agreement intend that it be read, to limit the authority of the Board under Section 252(e) of the Act to review interconnection agreements. Accordingly, until and unless otherwise so provided by the Board, subsequent amendments or modifications to the Agreement approved herein shall be subject to review and approval by the Board.

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(h), a copy of the Agreement will be made available for public inspection and copying within ten days of the issuance of this Order.

DATED: 10/24/00

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES
BY:

(signed)
HERBERT H. TATE
PRESIDENT

(signed)
CARMEN J. ARMENTI
COMMISSIONER

(signed)
FREDERICK F. BUTLER
COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

(signed)
FRANCES L. SMITH
SECRETARY